

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,794	03/25/2004		Oscar Adolfo MORENO	060452-0003	2793
20572	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
GODFREY			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
780 NORTH WATER STREET MILWAUKEE, WI 53202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/708,794	MORENO, OSCAR ADOLFO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers		· ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	`	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attach == = = = + (-)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO_412)					
2) Notice of References Cited (F10-092) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Page 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/708,794

**Art Unit: 1724** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arvanitakis (4,608,169), in view of Baird et al (5,114,159).

Arvanitakis discloses an air filter comprising a frame (30), at least one element (25), and holding system for holding the element (25) to the frame (30) (see details of Figs. 2 & 5). Arvanitakis further discloses the element (25) connected to bristles (27) (see col. 3, lines 40-59). Arvanitakis further discloses that "various changes may be made and equivalents may be substituted for elements" (see col. 5, lines 61-62). Claims 1-8 differ from the disclosure of Arvanitakis in that the element is a sealing element. Baird et al disclose a brush seal (30) with one side having bristles (38) (see col. 1, line 68 through col. 2, line 10, col. 2, lines 66-68). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a brush seal as taught by Baird et al in place of the element (25) of Arvanitakis since Arvanitakis clearly emphasizes that "various changes may be made and equivalents may be substituted for elements" and the selection of any of these known equivalents to the element (25) would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

The recitation "an air filter holding tray for use in the air conduit systems" of claim 1 has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is

Application/Control Number: 10/708,794

**Art Unit: 1724** 

denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ* 478 (CCPA 1951).

Regarding to the limitation "the bristles made of organic or inorganic fibers" of claim 5, "the bristles made from polymers" of claim 6, and "the polymer being polypropylene" of claim 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bristles being made of any material such as organic or inorganic fibers or of polymer or polypropylene since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham

Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1724 May 2, 2006